



AGENDA ITEM NO. 8

**HORFIELD AND LOCKLEAZE
NEIGHBOURHOOD PARTNERSHIP**

Thursday 18th September 2014

Report of: Caroline Hollies, Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator, Neighbourhood Management, Neighbourhoods.

Title: Wellbeing Report

Contact Telephone Number: 0117 92 23977 / caroline.hollies@bristol.gov.uk

Recommendations:

1. Note the budget for 2014/ 2015
2. Note the five current grant applications and the grants panel's recommendations
3. Note the full breakdown of all grant distribution across the Neighbourhood Partnership area
4. Neighbourhood Committee to make final decisions on current applications

1. Current Grant Balance

Wellbeing		Running Total
Devolved budget for 2014/2015	-	£20,000.00
Amount allocated June 2014	-	6910.43
Amount allocated September 2014	-	-
Amount allocated December 2014	-	-
Amount allocated March 2015	-	-
Unallocated Budget		£13,089.57

Green Capital		Running Total
Devolved budget for 2014/2015	-	£10,000.00
Amount allocated September 2014	-	-
Amount allocated December 2014	-	-
Amount allocated March 2015	-	-
Unallocated Budget		£10,000

2. Breakdown of all Wellbeing Grant distribution across Horfield and Lockleaze Neighbourhood Partnership Area

Ward	Total Funding Allocated since 2008
Horfield	£38,971.70
Lockleaze	£39,010.06
Delivered across both wards	£31,817.80
Total £109,799.56	

3. Current Applications and Grants Sub-Group Recommendations

Five funding applications have been received, one for Green Capital and 4 for Wellbeing. The Grants Panel met on 3rd September 2014 to appraise the current applications.

Those present at the meeting were: Anna George, Jane Cunningham, Joy Langley & Caroline Hollies (Neighbourhood Partnership Coordinator). Feedback was also received from Carol Chinn and Ruth Revell.

Apologies were received from: Graham Franklin, Margaret Viner, Estella Tincknell, Ruth Revell, Olly Mead, Gill Kirk, Alex Wood, Bill Martin and Carol Chinn

Green Capital

No	Ward	Group	Contact	Description of project	£ requested	Recommendation (Scores of over 11 out of 21 should be approved)	£ Amount Recommended
A	L	St Werburgh's City Farm	Kari Lucas	Greener Travel Safer Streets	£1740	Score 8 out of 21 – Not to fund Liked the idea but felt that it would not engage Lockleaze residents. The group felt that StWCF needs to carry out some outreach in the area, rather than expecting residents to come to them.	£0.00

						Would like to see the results of the Family Forests Feast project.	
3 (below)	H	Friends of Horfield Common	Anna-May Richards	Trees	£3,000	Score 15 out of 21 – Fund (see 3. Below)	£1000 (+£2000 from Wellbeing)

Wellbeing

No	Ward	Group	Contact	Description of project	£ requested	Recommendation	£ Amount Recommended
1	H	Upper Horfield Community Trust	Dean Chapman	Hearing Loop and Sound System	£1600	Score 17 out of 21 – Conditionally fund Panel thought it would be a great resource to have in the area, but felt that one room with a hearing loop would be sufficient. Would like to confirm that the installation costs are included, if not these should be stated as match funding.	£1260
2	H	Upper Horfield Youth Clubs	Dean Chapman	Youth Sports Club	£2,000	Score 15 out of 21 – Fund Panel felt this was a good piece of work that needs to continue. They wanted more information about how young people in the Manor Farm area were going to be engaged with the project. Did not want to fund cleaning costs as this is a core cost.	£1923

3	Horfield	Friends of Horfield Common	Anna-May Richards	Trees	£3,000	Score 15 out of 21 – Fund Panel felt that this project would benefit people throughout the ward. Due to the £2000 cap on the Wellbeing Fund, panel recommend that £2000 comes from Wellbeing and £1000 from Green Capital	£2000 (+£1000 from Green Capital)
4	H&L	ABLAZE	Roger Opie	Volunteering opportunities for young people	£2,000	Panel were unable to score because there was insufficient information. Suggest they resubmit application for the next meeting.	£0.00

3. Note about previous round of wellbeing –:

The North Bristol Somali Women’s Group had been unable to spend the £2000 allocated to summer holiday activities and requested that they use the funding for activities around Eid in October 2014. As this was within the period of spend for the grant it was agreed that they write a signed covering letter detailing what the funds will now be used for and by when, to explain how they would engage the local community in these events and to revise the amount that they are requesting if appropriate.

St Werburgh’s City Farm – the panel had some concerns with this applications so Kari Lucas (Director of St Werburgh’s City Farm) attended the meeting to talk through the project. Kari explained that the purpose of the project was to specifically engage residents of Horfield and Lockleaze because they have recognised that there are very few residents from this Neighbourhood Partnership who come to events at the Farm (Kari will provide these figures). The panel were satisfied that the project is based on outreach work within the Horfield and Lockleaze Neighbourhood Partnership which is likely to engage local residents and the panel recommend that the project is fully funded.

4. *The Neighbourhood Committee is asked to make final decisions on the above current applications*

5. Legal Information

When councillors decide how the wellbeing fund is spent they should have due regard to the public sector equality duty that applies to all public bodies. This duty is contained in the Equality Act 2010 and came in to force on 6 April 2011. It replaces previous equality duties under the Sex Discrimination, Race Relations and Disability Discrimination Acts. The duty means that councillors are required to have due regard to the need to :

- Eliminate unlawful discrimination, harassment and victimisation and other conduct prohibited under the Act
- Promote equality of opportunity between different groups
- Foster good relations between people from different groups

The duty covers the following protected characteristics:

- Disability
- Sexual orientation
- Age
- Gender reassignment
- Religion and belief
- Sex
- Race
- Pregnancy and maternity

It also applies to marriage and civil partnership, but only in respect of the requirement to eliminate discrimination and harassment.